About the research

What were the aims of the research?
The aim of this project was to identify a set of outcome measures suitable for use in evaluative public mental health research.

Why do we need a public mental health outcome set?

• To evaluate public mental health interventions and track public mental health over time, validated outcome measures are needed.
• A ‘core outcome set’ is an agreed, standardised set of outcome measures and allows for research findings across studies to be compared.
• Despite progress in developing core outcome sets across other areas of public health, a set is yet to be developed for public mental health.
• The purpose of the current project was to identify unambiguous outcomes of public mental health, to enable their use to study the effects of exposures and interventions.

What did we do?

Stakeholder consultation

• We ran stakeholder consultations involving members of the public, public mental health practitioners, commissioners and researchers to establish which domains of public mental health to focus on.
• Four domains of public mental health were identified and feasible to progress. These were wellbeing, social connectedness, civic participation, and resilience.

Further information

For more information on this research, please see the SPHR website at: https://nihrsphr.link/pmh_outcome_set
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### Domains of public mental health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working definition</th>
<th>Wellbeing</th>
<th>Social connectedness</th>
<th>Civic participation</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measures that assess the positive aspects of mental health (e.g., life satisfaction)</td>
<td>Measures of subjective views, perceptions, or experiences (e.g., feelings of inclusion, belonging, loneliness, isolation, perceived adequacy of social support)</td>
<td>Measures covering attitudes, perceptions, and feelings about contributing to civic and community life through volunteering, political participation, and different forms of community action</td>
<td>Measures of resilience as an attribute or resource. This conceptualises resilience as personal &quot;attributes or situational resources that are deemed helpful in the event that someone experiences hardship&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of studies screened/included - identification of measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5473 papers screened</th>
<th>29 papers included</th>
<th>2396 papers screened</th>
<th>32 papers included</th>
<th>Absence of review level data – progressed straight to stage 2 searches</th>
<th>4139 papers screened</th>
<th>40 included in review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| 1424 papers screened | 15 papers included | 6218 papers screened | 22 papers included | 1874 papers screened | 0 included in review | N/A |

### Number of suitable measures identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 measures of wellbeing</th>
<th>10 measures of social connectedness</th>
<th>0 measures suitable for inclusion</th>
<th>103 potentially suitable measures of resilience. Following further web searches, 19 measures which included items which conceptualised resilience as an attribute or resource were identified.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 6 assessed feelings of loneliness</td>
<td>• 4 focused on perceived social support</td>
<td>Due to the high volume of measures identified, a second stage search to identify evidence on reliability and validity of measures was not feasible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Findings

- **Wellbeing**
  - strongest evidence found for The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (WEMWBS) - fulfilled 15/18 of the quality assessment criteria.
  - All measures were found to have good evidence of reliability.
  - A lack of evidence for the ability of measures to detect changes in wellbeing over time.

- **Social connectedness**
  - Loneliness Using UCLA loneliness scale (version 3) fulfilled the most quality assessment criteria (12/18).
  - Evidence of content validity was considerably lacking across measures.
  - No evidence of responsiveness to change over time identified for any measures.

- **Civic participation**
  - Social support
    - Strongest evidence found for F-SozU K-6 measure (12/18).
    - SPS-10 and SPS-5 fulfilled 11/18 criteria.
    - No evidence of content validity or responsiveness to change over time.

- **Resilience**
  - 2 potential measures of civic participation initially identified, however neither showed evidence of being validated in relevant populations.

- **Key findings**
  - Further evaluation of psychometric properties in general adult populations is required. In particular, there was a lack of evidence identified for the content validity of measures.
  - There needs to be further scoping of the conceptual underpinnings of domains and greater consistency in the use of language and terminology applied.
  - The lack of measures identified in relation to some domains, such as civic participation, suggests that more targeted searches may be required to uncover potentially suitable measures. Further primary research may need to be conducted to support the development of measures addressing the subjective experience.
  - Further work is required to identify measures relating to the domains of public mental health not fully addressed in this project. Due to the range and scope of the literature, it was not feasible to identify and assess psychometric properties of measures of resilience, mental illness, and work-life balance.